Pine Grove Corridor Study

Transportation Bond

May 7, 2019

Pine Grove Corridor Study History



October 2015

August 2016

March 2017 June 2017

October 2017 – Current

<u>Details</u>

Additional Engineering Project Management Staff Hired Pine Grove Drive Corridor Study Initiated **Additional Analysis Scenarios Added Concept Designs & Cost Estimates** Added **Project Manager Transitions / Cost Estimate Analysis / Additional Concepts Evaluated**



Pine Grove Corridor Study Area

PINE GROVE CORRIDOR STUDY -TRANSPORTATION BOND PROJECTS

BOND PROJECT	DESCRIPTION OF IMPROVEMENTS
Pine Grove Drive @ MacMillan Avenue Intersection Improvements	Intersection realignment and signalized pedestrian crossings to improve safety
Pine Grove Drive @ Oleander Drive Realignment	Intersection realignment to remove skew of intersection with signalized and marked pedestrian accommodations to improve safety
Wrightsville Avenue @ Wallace Avenue Roundabout	Construction of a roundabout to improve traffic flow and safety
Pine Grove Drive @ Greenville Loop Road Roundabout	Construction of a roundabout to improve traffic flow and safety
Pine Grove Drive @ Holly Tree Road Roundabout	Construction of a roundabout to improve traffic flow and safety

Service (LOS)?

Level of Service is a quantitative measure of traffic operational conditions. Ranges of operation are defined for each type of roadway section (signalized intersections, freeways, ramp junctions and weaving sections) and are related to the amount of traffic demand at a given time as compared to the capacity of that type of roadway section.

Six levels of service are defined for each type of roadway section and are given letter designations from A to F, with A representing good operating conditions and F representing unsatisfactory operating conditions.



LEVEL OF SERVICE (LOS)



Pine Grove Corridor Study -Northern Projects



Pine Grove Drive @ Oleander Drive Pine Grove Drive @ MacMillan Avenue Existing Conditions 2019 PM Peak Hour – Video Simulation



Pine Grove Drive @ Oleander Drive Pine Grove Drive @ MacMillan Avenue Offset Ts – Concept Plan



Pine Grove Drive @ Oleander Drive Pine Grove Drive @ MacMillan Avenue Offset Ts – Concept Plan



Pine Grove Drive @ Oleander Drive Pine Grove Drive @ MacMillan Avenue Offset Ts 2019 PM Peak Hour– Video Simulation

Pros

- Improves safety by removing skewed approach at Oleander
- Improves safety by providing protected "green arrow" phase for westbound dual left-turns on Oleander
- Accommodates more vehicles by providing dual left-turn lanes on Oleander
- Decreases overall delay at Oleander/Pine Grove by more than 30% and at Pine Grove/MacMillan by more than 50%
- Provides gaps for MacMillan to Pine Grove movements
- Improves pedestrian network and crossings

Pine Grove Drive @ Oleander Drive Pine Grove Drive @ MacMillan Avenue Offset Ts - Pros and Cons

Cons

- Introduces a new intersection on Oleander and potential to "stop"
- Increased Right-of-Way acquisition required and expense
- Exceeds project budget



Pine Grove Drive @ Oleander Drive Pine Grove Drive @ MacMillan Avenue Dual Lefts – Concept Plan



Pine Grove Drive @ Oleander Drive Pine Grove Drive @ MacMillan Avenue Dual Lefts – Concept Plan



Pine Grove Drive @ Oleander Drive Pine Grove Drive @ MacMillan Avenue Dual Lefts 2019 PM Peak Hour – Video Simulation

	Pros		Cons
-	Improves safety by providing protected "green arrow" phase for westbound dual left-turns on Oleander	-	Retains skewed intersection geometry
-	Accommodates more vehicles by providing dual left-turn lanes on Oleander	-	Removes connection between Pine Grove and MacMillan; traffic reroutes needed (need to coordinate w/ NH Co. School Board)
-	Decreases delay at Oleander/Pine Grove by more than 45%	-	Potential increase to traffic volumes on adjacent residential roadways
-	Improves pedestrian network and crossings	-	Increased vehicle miles traveled (VMT)
		-	Exceeds project budget

Pine Grove Drive @ Oleander Drive Pine Grove Drive @ MacMillan Avenue Dual Lefts – Pros and Cons

Intersection	No-Build (2041)	"Offset Ts" (2041)	"Dual Lefts" (2041)
Pine Grove Drive @ Oleander Drive	"F" 126.5 seconds	"C" 28.4 seconds (West) "E" 57.1 seconds (East)	"E" 65.7 seconds
Pine Grove Drive @ MacMillan Avenue	"F" 101.6 seconds	"E" 48.3 seconds	Intersection Removed

Pine Grove Drive @ Oleander Drive Pine Grove Drive @ MacMillan Avenue Concept Comparison - LOS

	No-Build	"Offset Ts" (2041)	"Dual Lefts" (2041)
Design & Construction	No Cost	\$6,139,400	\$5,323,100
Budget	No Cost	\$4,600,000	\$4,600,000
Budget Overrun	No Cost	\$1,539,400	\$ 723,100

Pine Grove Drive @ Oleander Drive Pine Grove Drive @ MacMillan Avenue Concept Comparison – Budget Impact



Wrightsville Ave - Wallace Ave



Wrightsville Avenue @ Wallace Avenue Roundabout – Concept Plan



	Intersection	No-Build (2041)	Build (2041) w/ "Offset Ts"	Build (2041) w/ "Dual Lefts"
	Wrightsville Avenue @ Wallace Avenue (Single-Lane)	"F" 864.2	"C" 19.4 seconds	"D" 29.7 seconds
Wrightsville Ave.	Wrightsville Avenue @ Wallace Avenue (Two- Lanes)	seconds (Stop Sign)	"B" 13.3 seconds	"B" 12.3 seconds
Mallace Wallace Mallace Aree	DEWALK			

Wrightsville Avenue @ Wallace Avenue Roundabout - LOS

	Intersection	Build (2041) w/ "Offset Ts"	Build (2041) w/ "Dua Lefts"
	Wrightsville Avenue @ Wallace Avenue (Single-Lane)	0.888	0.986
	Wrightsville Avenue @ Wallace Avenue (Two-Lanes)	0.778	0.778
Wrightsville Ave.	WALK		
Wallace Mailance Mailance Aue.	S SIDEWALK		

Wrightsville Avenue @ Wallace Avenue Roundabout - Volume-to-Capacity Ratio

	Pros		Cons
-	Improves traffic flow, levels of service, and queues - decreases delay by at least 95%	-	Increased Right-of-Way acquisition required (and expense)
-	Improves safety and can reduce severity of crashes	-	Driver learning curve for roundabouts
-	Provides equal access to enter intersection	-	Additional Right of Way may be needed in future for 2-lane roundabout approaches
-	Accommodates future growth – it can easily convert to a 2-lane roundabout	-	Exceeds project budget

Wrightsville Avenue @ Wallace Avenue: Roundabout - Pros and Cons

	No-Build	Roundabout
Design & Construction	No Cost	\$2,202,100
Budget	No Cost	\$1,500,000
Budget Overrun	No Cost	\$ 702,100

Wrightsville Avenue @ Wallace Avenue Roundabout – Budget Impact



Pine Grove Corridor Study -Southern Projects



Pine Grove Drive @ Greenville Loop Road Roundabout – Concept Plan

	Pros		Cons
-	Improves traffic flow, levels of service, and queues	-	Increased Right-of-Way acquisition required (and expense)
-	Improves safety and can reduce severity of crashes	-	Driver learning curve for roundabouts
-	Provides equal access to enter intersection	-	Exceeds project budget
-	Adds second southbound lane on Pine Grove Drive		

Pine Grove Drive @ Greenville Loop Road Roundabout - Pros and Cons



Pine Grove Drive @ Greenville Loop Road Traffic Signal – Concept Plan

Pros	Cons
 Improves traffic flow, levels of service, and queues 	 Queue for WB Left from Greenville is 450' vs 200' for RAB Concept
- Decreases delay by over 60%	 Annual operating costs of \$4,000 to \$8,000 for a traffic signal
- Adds second southbound lane on Pine Grove Drive	 Exceeds project budget

Pine Grove Drive @ Greenville Loop Road Traffic Signal - Pros and Cons



Pine Grove Drive @ Holly Tree Road Roundabout – Concept Plan

Pros	Cons
	 <u>Demand exceeds capacity – doesn't</u> <u>function from a traffic operations</u> <u>standpoint.</u>

Pine Grove Drive @ Holly Tree Road Roundabout - Pros and Cons



Pine Grove Drive @ Holly Tree Road Traffic Signal – Concept Plan

	Pros	Cons
-	Improves traffic flow, levels of service, and queues	 Sight distance issues for northbound left-turn (to be addressed during design)
-	Decreases delay by almost 70%	- Restriction of left turns from stop controlled side streets will be seen as an inconvenience for many drivers
-	Adds second southbound lane on Pine Grove Drive	- Exceeds project budget

Pine Grove Drive @ Holly Tree Road Traffic Signal - Pros and Cons

Intersection	No-Build (2041)	Roundabout & Traffic Signal (2041)	Traffic Signal & Traffic Signal (2041)
Pine Grove Drive @ Greenville Loop Road	"E" 74.7 seconds	"C" 23.6 seconds	"C" 28.2 seconds
Pine Grove Drive @ Holly Tree Road	"F" 90.3 seconds	"C" 27.9 seconds	"C" 27.9 seconds

Pine Grove Drive @ Greenville Loop Road Pine Grove Drive @ Holly Tree Road Concept Comparison - LOS

	No-Build	Greenville Loop Road Roundabout & Holly Tree Road Traffic Signal Improvements	Greenville Loop Road Traffic Signal & Holly Tree Road Traffic Signal Improvements
Design & Construction	No Cost	\$5,555,300	\$5,669,900
Budget	No Cost	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000
Budget Overrun	No Cost	\$2,555,300	\$2,669,900

Pine Grove Drive @ Greenville Loop Road Pine Grove Drive @ Holly Tree Road Concept Comparison - Budget Impact

Staff Recommended Improvements

Northern Projects Offset Ts Intersection

Wrightsville Ave @ Wallace Ave Single Lane Roundabout (Dual in Future)

Southern Projects

Greenville Loop Road – Dual Lane Roundabout Holly Tree Road - Signal Improvements Restrict Left Turns in Project Limits

Project Budget – Recommended Improvements

Project	Budget	Cost Estimate	Budget Overrun	Comments
Pine Grove Drive at Oleander Drive and MacMillan Avenue -	\$4,600,000	\$6,139,400	\$1,539,400	Oleander Drive \$2,100,000
Offset Ts Concept				MacMillan Avenue \$2,500,000
Pine Grove Drive at Greenville Loop Road and Holly Tree Road - Roundabout & Traffic	\$3,000,000	\$5,555,300	\$2,555,300	Greenville Loop Road \$1,500,000
Signal Improvements				Holly Tree Road \$1,500,000
Wrightsville Avenue at Wallace Avenue - Roundabout	\$1,500,000	\$2,202,100	\$702,100	
Total	\$9,100,000	\$13,896,800	\$4,796,679	

Potential Funding Sources

Funding Source	Available Funding	Comments
Premium Bond Sale	\$787,358	Use Entire Amount Available
Transportation Bond – Roadway Project Savings to Date	\$819,506	Use Entire Amount Available
Transportation Bond – Bike and Pedestrian Project Savings to Date	\$2,045,905	Use \$320,000 - \$500,000 for Bike Lanes, Multi-Use Path, Ped Signal, Sidewalk, Etc.
Transportation Bond - Roadway Contingency	\$1,500,000	Use \$1,000,000 and Leave \$500,000 for Future Projects
Transportation Bond – Roadway Contingency Interest Earnings	\$350,562	Use Entire Amount Available
Streets and Sidewalks Capital Balances	\$893,622	Use Half and Leave \$483,090 for Future Projects
Eastwood Road Access Management (Anticipated Cost Savings)	\$1,500,000	Use Entire Amount Available / Assumes Contingencies in Projects are Sufficient with Phase 1 Nearing Completion

Recommended Funding

Funding Source	Amount of Funding
Budget Deficit	(\$4,796,800)
Premium Bond Sale	\$787,358
Transportation Bond – Roadway Project Savings to Date	\$819,506
Transportation Bond – Bike and Pedestrian Project Savings to Date	\$320,000
Transportation Bond – Roadway Contingency	\$1,000,000
Transportation Bond – Roadway Contingency Interest Earnings FY20	350,562
Streets and Sidewalks Capital Balances	\$483,090
Eastwood Road Access Management (Anticipated Project Savings)	\$1,500,000
Project Balance	\$463,716

Next Steps

- Respond to Questions of City Council
- Present City Council with Design Contracts for Northern and Southern Pine Grove Projects for Authorization to Proceed
- Begin Coordination with NCDOT and External Agencies
- Begin Design Phase for the Pine Grove Projects

